An in Vitro Detection of Antibacterial Inhibitory Effect of Aqueous Extract of Melissa Officinalis and Plantago Ovate

Marwa Kadhim Khudhair

PhD in microbiology, **Pharmacy Department**, **Al-Mustaqbal University College**, **Babylon**, **Iraq** E-mail: marwabermani@gmail.com

Zainab Adil Ghani Chabuck

PhD in microbiology, Department of Medical Microbiology, College of Medicine, University of Babylon, Iraq Email: zainabibz@gmail.com

Nada Khazal Kadhim Hindi

Prof. PhD in microbiology, Department of Basic and Medical Science, College of Nursing, University of Babylon, Babylon Province, Iraq). Email: nadakhazal@yahoo.com

Abduladheem Turki Jalil

MSc in Virology, Faculty of Biology and Ecology, YankaKupala State University of Grodno, Grodno, Belarus. abedalazeem799@gmail.com

Received 2021 October 20; Revised 2021 December 09; Accepted 2021 December 10.

Abstract:

Background:There is an increment in the public alarm about hygiene that stimulates many works and researches to inspect and improve different antimicrobial agents. However, the applications of various antimicrobial reagents must be taperedbecause of their possible harmful or toxic effects. Also, the usage of plants materials as an alternative of chemical or synthetic drugs is increased in last years, in order to reduce unwanted effects of these antibiotics. WHO informed that about 80% of population around the world still trusting on plants and their extracts as sources for principal health care, despite the fact traditional medication is the only available health source for more than 60% of the world'spopulation?

Aim: to evaluate the inhibitory effects of *Melissa officinalis* and *Plantago ovata* aqueous extracts, as growth inhibitors and /or inhibition of other physiological behaviors as surface adhesion and biofilm formation.

Material and Methods: Aqueous extracts of the mentioned plants were applied to examine their effects for inhibition of growth and some physiological parameters as adhesion and biofilm-formation against 19 bacterial isolates (both positive and negative clinical isolates). Agar wells-diffusion method, biofilm inhibition test by the using of tissue culture plate method and adherence inhibition assay were done for the estimation and evaluation the inhibitory effects.

Results:aquatic extract of *Melissa officinalis* expressing significant antibacterial inhibitory activity against Gram positive more than Gram negative bacteria, especially against *Strep. faecalis* with an inhibition zone 36mm.the aquatic extracts of *Melissa officinalis* gave high inhibitory effects for adhesion and biofilm formation against all the tested bacteria; in comparison with the inhibitory effect the extract of *Plantago ovata*.

Conclusion:Medicinal plants act as therapeutic agents that inhibit the growth of pathogens considered as potential antimicrobial compounds, as these compounds are cheap, available and broadly-effective against wide range of severe human pathogens, that causing dangerous diseases and complications.

Keywords: Melissa officinalis, Plantago ovata, inhibitory effect, biofilm, aqueous extracts.

1.Introduction:

Plants are considered as bulky reservoirs of various and vital secondary metabolites and they are really effective sources of many pharmaceutical constituents that encompass active ingredient substances

in one or some of their body parts[1]. Each plant extracthas multiple targets inside thecells, thus it is difficult to a considerthat their antibacterial effects are a result of single mechanism but it depends on the number of chemical compounds in every plant extracts. Moreover, these effective mechanisms are acted collectively not separately, sometimes some of these are affected positively or negatively by others [2].Most of the populations around the world are using herbal constituentsbecause of their robust antimicrobial activities and chief healthcare aids and the already-used antibiotic drugs can be substituted with biological materials as herbal extracts medicines with high antioxidant, antiviral, and antimicrobialactivities[3].

Plant-derived medicines are the principal medicine to treat various infections in manynations. These herbal materials extracts apply continuouslysignificantattempts to produce new biological compounds with major antibacterial effects. Variousworks hadrevealed that diverseplant-derived medicines are considered as sources of wide range of molecules, many of which exhibit radical scavenger against free radicals and antimicrobial features that protect human against cellular oxidation reactions and pathogens [4].

Moreover, as a benefit of using naturally-produced materials as antibacterial composites that play a role in reducing conomic losses and health hazards that may be produced by different pathogenic microorganisms, where various plant extracts are identified to be effective against a wide variety of pathogens, whether Gram-negative or even -positive bacteria [5]. Thus, for these reasons different plants parts had been used to prepare natural extracts for emerging novel antimicrobial drugs; since they are effective against opportunistic pathogenic and pathogenic microbiota. Inhibitory antibacterial effects of the medicinal plants extracts is attributed to the composition and contents of the plant essential oils, that consisting of large numbers of secondary metabolites, asflavonoids, tannins, and alkaloids [6,7].

Herbsantimicrobial activities depend on their contents and chemical composition, that is determined by the plant genotype and is influenced significantly by manyparameters, such as agronomical and environmental conditions and the geographical origin. Furthermore antibacterial activities depending on the type, compositions and concentrations of the herb or the active essential contents, also the type and concentrations of the herb or its effective essential oils, and the type and concentrations of the target pathogen, composition of the substrates; finally, the processing methods and the storage conditions [8, 9].

Bacterial adhesion to the body surfaces and medical device surfaces is the initial and limited step in the pathogenesis of many infectious diseases and implantation-related infections. As a traditional or alternative to methods that includebiocides or antibiotics that are released or infused, methods and new medical substances to be designed and to produce control material with criteria to decrease/control bacterial adhesion and thereby reduction of microbial infection are more attractive tactics[10]. Also, it is very important to develop new and safer antimicrobial agents able to prevent microbe's adhesion, proliferation and adhesion on materials surfaces, and reduce their negative effects. As biofilm allows bacteria to adhere irreversibly to a wide variety of surfaces whether living or non-living and make bacteria more resistant to many treatment and immunity agents leading to severely complicated infections[11].

1.1. Melissa officinalis

Melissa officinalis is one of the ancient and most traditional herbs. It is a memberof lamiaceae family and it is known as lemon balm. Lots ofresearches had been processed on this plant and its various

properties were verified. The most public therapeutic applications are antiviral, antiinflammatory, antispasmodic, antioxidant, sedative property and carminative cases [12].

Most of the pathogenic bacteria that involved in this study are considered as causative agents of many diseases, as UTI, burn, gastroenteritis, skin infections and other bacterial infections; the existence of antibiotic-resistant pathogens limited the availability of therapeutic choices for proper and effective treatment, additionally, bacterial resistance to antibiotic had led investigators to studyhow to replace effective antibiotics agents with lesser side effects instead of less effective antimicrobial agent with more side effects. Thus, the use of natural antimicrobial compounds, such as extracts of herbs, has become an interesting focus[13].

Mimica-Duki*et al.*[14]studiedboth the antibacterial and antioxidant activities of essential oil of lemon balm against thirteen bacterial types and six mold species. Also, he approved that affectivity and proper results of this substance were dose-dependent. Also, in 2005, Di pasqua and his collegues[15]showed that this plant is effective against *staphy.aureus*, pseudomonas,anthrococcus, andlactococcus.

1.2. Plantago ovate

Plantago ovatecommonly isknown as Isabgol, it is a perennial herb. a member of family Plantaginaceae. It's extracts have a wide range of biological activities especially from its leaves, which includeanti-ulcerogenic, wound healing activity, immunomodulating, analgesic, antioxidant, weak antibiotic and anti-inflammatory activities [16], while Reddy et al. [17] showed that it is a weak anti-inflammatory and an effective antibacterial agent. Moreover, Karima et al.[18] revealed that it contains significant quantities of phenolic compounds and has a potential antibacterial and antioxidant activities with significant activities against multidrug-resistant microorganisms and can lead to new forms of treatment of infectious diseases.

Outer seed coat of plantagocontains 10-30% of hydrocolloid thatcan be separatedinto neutral and acidic polysaccharides and onhydrolysis can obtainD-galactose, L-arabinose, D-galacturonicacid, D-xylose and L-rhamnose.Plantago gum solution is thixotropic asits mucilage has a disintegrant action. Theouter seed coat is a white-rosy membranous cover of theseed, thatconsidered the drug part, that is natural product100%, and is used as asafe laxative, as in case ofchronic diarrhea, dysentery andhabitualconstipation;compose of soluble fibersto form gelin water. The usual dose of *Plantago ovata* is 7.5 g[19,20].

This work was aimed to evaluate the inhibitory effects of *Melissa officinalis* and *Plantago ovata* aqueous extracts, as growth inhibitors and /or inhibition of other physiological behaviors as surface adhesion and biofilm formation; since these parameters are important for bacterial pathogenicity and disease production.

2. Material and Methods

For preparation of aquatic extract from *Melissa officinalis* and *Plantago ovata*-related aquatic extracts according to [21,22].

2.1. Bacterial and Isolates

Collected clinical bacterial isolates (19 isolates) were used in thisstudy (listed at table (1)). Bacterial isolates were activated in three continual times on nutrient agar then stored at 4°C as nutrient agar slant. The documentation of isolates were established by various biochemical tests [23].

| Gram-negative bacteria | Gram-positivebacteria |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Salmonella typhi | Staphylococcus aureus |
| Salmonellatyphimurum | Staphylococcus epidermidis |
| Pseudomonas aeroginosa | Staphylococcus saprophyticus |
| Pseudomonas flourscences | Streptococcus pyogenes |
| Proteus vulgaris | Streptococcus pneumoniae |
| Proteus merabilis | Streptococcus mutanus |
| Klebsiellapneumoniae | Streptococcus feacalis |
| Enterobacter aerugenes | Streptococcusaglagtia |
| Acinetobacter | |
| Escherichia coli | |
| Serratia spp. | |

Table 1: Gram-positive and-negative bacteria

2.2. Antimicrobial activity test by Agar-well diffusion assay (In vitro): [24, 22].

Antibacterial activity assay: According to Forbes [23], the antimicrobial activity was detected by agar-disc diffusion (the test were performed in triplicates).

2.3. Biofilm Formation Assay: Semi quantitative microtiter plate test or Tissue culture plate method assay (TCP) designated by Christensen *et al.* [25] was assumed as the gold standard method for detection of biofilm formation.

Table 2:Bacterial adherence and biofilm formation by method of TCP (Hindi et al[26]).

| Mean of OD value at 630 nm | Adherence | Biofilm formation |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| 0.120> | Non | Non |
| 0.120-0.240 | Moderate | Moderate |
| >0.240 | Strong | High |

2.4. Adherence test

Bacterial adherence to epithelial cell of the mouth is one of the chief and important virulence criterion f these bacteria and can be recognized using method designated by [27, 28].

2.5. Statistical analysis

Bonferroni test [29] was used to analyse data; as ($P \le 0.05$) to show significant differences between the types of extracts.

3. Result:

After completion of all work-experiments, the collected data were as following; regarding antibacterial effect of *Melissa officinalis* against Gram negative and positive bacteria; figure (1) showed thataquatic extract of Melissa officinalisex pressing significant antibacterial inhibitory activity against Gram positive more than Gram negative bacteria, especially against Strep. faecalis with an inhibition zone 36mm, followed by inhibition zone of 35mm obtained by each of Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Streptococcus pyogenes, Streptococcus pneumonia, Streptococcus mutanus, Streptococcusaglagtia, also obtained by Proteus vulgaris, Acinetobacter from Gramnegative bacteria. Moreover, lesser inhibition zone were obtained from Proteus merabilis, Klebsiellapneumoniae, Salmonella typhiandEscherichia coliwith a range of 34-32mm progressively. In comparison to this, the lowest inhibition zone were achieved in relation to Pseudomonas aeroginosa, Pseudomonas flourscences, Staphylococcus aureus and Serratia spp. with inhibition zone of only 30mm for all. In spite of these ranged variable results that were accomplished by Melissa officinalis; Figure (2) showed antibacterial effects of *Plantago ovata* against the tested bacterial species but it was lower than that obtained by Melissa officinalisand with a range of only 17-25mm, as the Pseudomonas aeroginosa and Strep. faecalis were on the extremities respectively and the others were in between them.

Later on, only gram-negative bacteria were tested to examine the ability of the aquatic extract of the two plants in this study, and detect their ability to inhibit bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation. For that the results were of these tests were revealed in Table 3 and 4.



Figure 1 :Antibacterial effect of *Melissa officinalis* against Gram negative and positive bacteria by agar well method.



- Figure 2: Antibacterial effect of *Plantago ovata* against Gram negative and positive bacteria by agar well method.
 - Table 3. Anti-adherence activity of aquatic extractsof Melissa officinalis, andPlantago ovata

 against Gram negative bacteria

| Gram negative bacteria | Melissa officinalis | Plantago ovata |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Salmonella typhi | High | Moderate |
| Salmonellatyphimurum | High | Moderate |
| Pseudomonas aeroginosa | High | Moderate |
| Pseudomonas flourscences | High | Moderate |
| Proteus vulgaris | High | Moderate |
| Proteus merabilis | High | Moderate |
| Klebsiellapneumoniae | High | Moderate |
| Enterobacter aerugenes | High | Moderate |
| Acinetobacter | High | Moderate |
| Escherichia coli | High | Moderate |
| Serratia spp. | High | Moderate |

| Gram negative bacteria | Melissa officinalis | Plantago ovata |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Salmonella typhi | High | Moderate |
| Salmonella typhimurum | High | Moderate |
| Pseudomonas aeroginosa | High | Moderate |
| Pseudomonas flourscences | High | Moderate |
| Proteus vulgaris | High | Moderate |
| Proteus merabilis | High | Moderate |
| Klebsiellapneumoniae | High | Moderate |
| Enterobacter aerugenes | High | Moderate |
| Acinetobacter | High | Moderate |
| Escherichia coli | High | Moderate |
| Serratia spp. | High | Moderate |

Table 4: Anti biofilm activity of aquatic extracts of Melissa officinalisand Plantago ovata against Gram negative bacteria.

Results showed that the aquatic extracts of *Melissa officinalis* gave high inhibitory effects for adhesion and biofilm formation against all the tested bacteria; in comparison with the inhibitory effect the extract of *Plantago ovata*.

4. Discussion:

Lemon balm (*M. officinalis*) is a rich source of phenolic componds such as thymol and carvacrol which are the potential reason for the antioxidant and antibacterial activity of lemon balm plant. The antimicrobial characteristics of lemon balm have been used against Gram-negative bacteria including *E. coli,Salmonella typhi, Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Proteus, and Klebsiella and Gram-positive bacteria including*S. aureus, Sarcina lutea*, beta-hemolytic Streptococcus and *Bacillus cereus*. The antimicrobialactivities of lemon balm are mainly explained through the C15 and C10 terpenes with phenolic hydroxylgroups and aromatic rings, as well as other active terpenes, such as esters, aldehydes, and alcohols[13, 30].

The results of *in vitro* antibacterial activity of *M. officinalis* by agar disk diffusion and broth microwell dilution assays. The examined gram negative bacteria (*E. coli* and *S. typhimurium*) were more resistant to the antibacterial activity of the extract than gram positive bacteria (*S. aureus* and *L. monocytogenes*)[31].

Hashemi *et al.*, [32]established that highest sensitivity to *M. officinalis*was obtained by*S. aureus*. However, so manyworks about*M. officinalis* concerningits antibacterial effects were done on several Gram-negative and -positive bacteria, for example; *E. coli* and the multi-resistant strain of *Shigella sonei*haddisplayed the uppermost sensitivity to the antibacterial inhibitory effect of *M. officinalis*[33]. In another study, *M. officinalis* showna robust antimicrobial activity against *Salmonella enterica* and *E. coli* [34].On the other hand, weak action of lemon balm against Gram-negative bacteria was also observed in the study of Canadanović-Brunet *et al* [35]. Microbial inhibitory activities of various*Melissa officinalis* parts extracts were also examined by other researchers who showed different ranges of antimicrobial effects with their works[36,37, 38].

Certain work showed that *M. officinalis* was described by its compositionwhich including four dominating components: β -caryophyllene, Citral, Thymol andCitronellal [31].Other study [39]quantified high concentrations of total phenolics in its watery extract. These high total amounts of phenol contentswere also determined by Dastmalchi*et al*[40]; the water-ethanol extracthad 268.9 mgGAE/g of extract and by Trendafilova*et al.*[41],water extract had 1126.5 mg/L GAE/g of extract.

Many studies were carried to show the valuable role of the biologically active plant extracts and the isolated pure components for enhancing the *in vitro* efficiency of frequently used antibiotics against various pathogenic microorganisms [42, 43,38]. Thus for utilizing these beneficial actions, and employing the fact that plant extracts can act in synergism with different antibiotics could be a new tactic to resolve the problem of bacterial antibiotic-resistance and bacteria with less susceptibility. Especially with the broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity of lemon balm extracts.

Regarding *Plantago ovata* many studies showed that their extracts have shown antibacterial inhibitory activities against various bacteria suchas *Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus*, methicillin-resistant *Staph. aureus* and *Escherichia coli*. The precise mechanism of effect is not exactlyassumed, but its antibacterial inhibitory activity can be strongly attributed to a caffeic acid derived substance called plantamajoside. AlsoPlantamajoside is identified to have anti-inflammatory activity through its inhibitory effect on arachidonic acid metabolism [17].

Karami*et al* [44] and Jabbar *et al.* [45] documented that antimicrobial properties of seed extracts of *Plantago ovata* by utilizing disc diffusion method showed high and moderate inhibitory effect of *Plantago ovata* was reported against *Bacillus saphaericus* and *pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Moreover, *P. ovata* is one of the commonly used, traditional medicinal plants which had been used since ancient years for so many reasons in Asian countries and it has high antibacterial properties. Its effect on various bacteria, including, *S. pyogenes,Bordetella bronchiseptica Staphylococcus aureus*[46]. In comparison to sharma*et al.* [47], the aqueous extracts of *plantago ovata* had no activity while ethanolic extract showed strong inhibition activity against *E.coli, K.pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa* and *K. oxytoca*.

Also, Karima *et al.*[18]shown that the aqueous and ethyl acetate fractions of *P. ovata* were more effective against Gram-positive than -negative one. Where outcomes were gotten with these fractions against three bacterial types (*Acinetobacter,Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeroginosa*. On the other hand, no inhibitory effects of the same fractions were found on *Citrobacter freundii*, *Enterobacter faecalis, Serratia sp, Listeria monocytogenes* and *Candida albicans*.

The mechanism of action behind the activity of plant compounds is that they prevent cell growth by disrupting bacterial cell wall, resulting in separation of components of the cell wall and exposure of its contents causing cell death. Thus antibacterial effects of *P. ovata* extracts may be related to their secondary metabolites [48].

El-Bashiti *et al.* [11] showed that *Melissa officinalis* aquatic extract produced high antibiofilm inhibitory effect against different bacterial pathogens with efficacy higher than 85%; thus this plant has an antimicrobial inhibitory effect against seriously pathogenic bacteria. Moreover, these results also agreed with results of Adwan and Mhanna[49] who approved that *Pseudomonas aeroginosa* isolates were more susceptible to antibiofilm activity of *Melissa officinalis* extract, when compared to *Staphylococcus aureus*. These suggesting that this plants may contain potential sources of natural antimicrobial compounds that may be of great use in the development of new therapies against many

pathogens, with the importance antibiofilm activity of this plant in controlling different infectious diseases.

5. Conclusion: Medicinal plants act as therapeutic agents that inhibit the growth of pathogens considered as potential antimicrobial compounds, as these compounds are cheap, available and broadly-effective against wide range of severe human pathogens, that causing dangerous diseases and complications.

6. References:

- 1- Tajkarim MM, Ibrahim SA, Cliver DO. (2010) Antimicrobial herb and spice compounds in food. Food Control; 21: 1199-18.
- 2- BurtS,(2004). Essential oils: Their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods- A review. International J of Food Microbiology; 94: 223-253.
- 3- Parham S, Kharazi AZ, Hamid Reza Bakhsheshi-Rad, HR, Nur H, Ismail AF, Sharif S, Krishna SR and Berto F. (2020). Antioxidant, Antimicrobial and Antiviral Properties of Herbal Materials. Antioxidants; 9, 1309.
- 4- Kennedy DA,Lupattelli A,Koren G,NordengH. (2016). Safety classification of herbal medicines used in pregnancy in a multinational study. BMC Complem Altern M, 16, 102.
- 5- Dorman HJD, Deans SG. (2000). Antimicrobial agents from plants: Antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. J Appl Microbiol; 88(2): 308-316.
- 6- Kačániová M, Terentjeva M, Puchalski C, Petrová J, Hutková J, *et al.*(2016). Microbiological quality of chicken thighs meat after application of essential oils combination, EDTA and vacuum packing. Potravinarstvo; 10(1), 107-113.
- 7- Fernandez SP, Karim N, Mewett KN, Chebib M, Johnston GAR, and Hanrahan JR.(2012).Flavan-3-ol esters: new agents for exploring modulatory sites on GABAA receptors. British Journal of Pharmacology; 165(4), 965–977.
- 8- Brandi G, Amagliani G, Schiavano GF, De Santi M and Sisti M.(2006). Activity of *Brassica oleracea* leaf juice on food-borne pathogenic bacteria. J. Food Protect.;69(9): 2274-2279.
- 9- Yesil-Celiktas, O, Hames-KocabasEE, Bedir E, Vardar-Sukan F, Ozek T, and Baser KHC.(2007). Antimicrobial activities of methanol extracts and essential oils of *Rosmarinus officinalis*, depending on location and seasonal variations. Food Chem;100: 553-559.
- 10- Campoccia D, Montanaro L, Arciola CR. (2013). A review of the clinical implications of anti-infective biomaterials and infection-resistant surfaces. Biomaterials.; 34(33):8018-29.
- 11- El-Bashiti TA, Masad, AA, Mosleh FN, and Abu Madi YM. (2019). Antibacterial and Anti-Biofilm Activity of Selected Plant Extracts Against Some Human Pathogenic Microorganisms. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition.; 18(11): 1014-1020.
- 12- Jafarpour M, Amniat TA, and Nekuie FA. (2016). In vitro Study of the Effect of *Melissa officinalis* Aqueous Lemon Balm Extract on *Aeromonas hydrophila* Causative Hemorrhagic Septicemia Disease in *Oncorhychusmykiss*..
- 13- Rabbani, M, Etemadifar Z, Karamifard F, and Borhani MS. (2016). Assessment of the antimicrobial activity of *Melissa officinalis* and *Lawasoniainermis*extracts against some bacterial pathogens. Comp Clin Pathol., 25: 59-65.
- 14- Mimica-Duki N, Bozin B, Sokoviæ M, Mihajloviæ B, and Matavulj M.(2004). Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of three Mentha species essential oils. Planta medica; **69**(5): 413-419.
- 15- Di Pasqua R, De Feo V, Villani F, and Mauriello G. (2005). In vitro antimicrobial activity of essential oils from Mediterranean Apiaceae, Verbenaceae and Lamiaceae against foodborne pathogens and spoilage bacteria. Annals of microbiology; 55(2): 139-143.

- 16- de Souza AP, Gerlach RF, Line SR. (2000).Inhibition of human gingival gelatinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) by metal salts. *Dent Mater.* ;16:103–8.
- 17- Reddy PR, Vandana KV, and Prakash S. (2018). Antibacterial and anti-inflammatory properties of *Plantago ovata* Forssk. leaves and seeds against periodontal pathogens: An *in vitro* study. Ayu.; 39(4): 226–229.
- Karima S, Farida S, Mihoub ZM. (2015). Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of Plantago major. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci.; 7(5): 58-64.
- 19- Fischer HM, Nanxiong Y, Ralph RGJ, Anderson L, Marletta J.: Carbohydr. Res. 2004; 339, 2099.
- 20- Guo Q, Cui SW, Wang Q, Young JC: (2008) Carbohydr. Polym.; 73, 35.
- 21- Ceyhan N, Keskin D, and Uğur A. (2012). Antimicrobial activities of different extracts of eight plant species from four different family against some pathogenic microoorganisms. J.Food, Agriculture & Environment,; 10 (1):193-197.
- 22- Hindi NKH, AL-Mahdin ZKA. and Chabuck ZAG. (2014). Activity of the Aquatic Extractof Fresh, Dry Powder Ginger, Apple Vinegar Extract of Fresh Ginger and Crud Oil of Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) Against Different Types of Bacteria in Hilla City, IRAQ. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences.; 6(5): 414-417.
- 23- Forbes BA. Sahm DF. and Weissfeld, AS. (2007). Bailey and Scotts' Diagnostic Microbiology,; 12th. ed. Elsevier China.
- 24- Hindi NKH, and Chabuck ZAG. (2013). Antimicrobial Activity of Different Aqueous Lemon Extracts Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science.; 3(06): 074-078.
- 25- Christensen GD, Simpson WA, Younger JA. Baddour LM, Barrett FF. and Melton DM. (1985). Adherence of cogulase negative Staphylococi to plastic tissue cultures:a quantitative model for the adherence of staphylococci to medical devices. *J Clin Microbiol*.;22: 996–1006.
- 26- Hindi NKH,Al-Dabbagh NN. and Chabuck ZAG. (2018). Anti-Swarming, Anti-Adherence and Anti-Biofilm Activities of Garlic-Related Aquatic Extracts: An *In Vitro* Study. Asian Jr. of Microbiol. Biotech. Env.; Sc., 20(2): 137-147.
- 27- Mateveki, L.L., Aspiras, M., Ellen, R. and Lepine, G. 2004. Two Epithelial Cell Invasion Related Loci of the Oral Pathogen *A. actinomycetecomitans*. Oral. Mic. and Immun.9(7): 16.
- 28- Avila-Campos, M.J. Simionato, M.R., Cai S., Mayer, M. Delorenzo, J.L. and Zelant, F. 2000. Virulence Factors of *Actinobacillusactinomycetecomitans*: other Putative Factors. Pesq. Odont. Bras. 14(1): 5-11.
- 29- Danial, WW. Biostatistics a foundation for analysis in health Sciences. 1988; 4th.ed. John Wilely and Sons, Inc.
- 30- Said-Al Ahl HA, Sabra AS, Gendy AS, Astatkie T.(2018).Essential oil content and concentration of constituents of Lemon Balm (*Melissaoffcinalis* L.) at different harvest dates. J. Essent. Oil-Bear Plants; 21, 1410–1417.
- 31- Ehsani A, Alizadeh O, Hashemi M, Afshari A, and Aminzare M. (2017). Phytochemical, antioxidant and antibacterial properties of *Melissa officinalis* and *Dracocephalummoldavica*essential oils. Veterinary Research Forum.; 8 (3) 223 – 229.
- 32- Hashemi M, Aminzare M, Naghibi S. (2015). Chemical composition, antibacterial and antioxidant effect of *Salvia Officinalis*, *Mentha Piperita* and *Mentha Longifolia*. Med Lab J Gorgan Univ Med Sci; 9(3): 47-55.
- 33- Mimica-Dukic N, Bozin B, Sokovic M. (2004). Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of *Melissa* officinalis L. (Lamiaceae) essential oil. J Agric Food Chem; 52:2485-2489.
- 34- Friedman M, Henika PR, Levin CE. (2004). Antibacterial activities of plant essential oils and their components against *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella enterica* in apple juice. J Agric Food Chem; 52(19):6042-6048.

- 35- Canadanović-Brunet J, Ćetković G, Đilas S, Tumbas V, Bogdanović V, Mandić A, Markov S, Cvetkovic D, Canadanovic V. (2008).Radical scavenging, antibacterial and antiproliferative activities of *Melissa officinalis* L. extracts. J. Med. Food; 11: 133-143.
- 36- Iauk L, Bue AM, Milazzo I, Rapisarda A, Blandino G. (2003). Antibacterial activity of medicinal plant extracts against periodontopathic bacteria. Phytother. Res.; 17: 599-604.
- 37- Ertürk O. (2006). Antibacterial and antifungal activity of ethanolic extracts from eleven spice plants. Biologia; 61; 275-278.
- 38- Uzun E, Sariyar G, Adsersen A, Karakoc B, Otük G, Oktayoglu E, Pirildar S. (2004).Traditional medicine in Sakarya province (Turkey) and antimicrobial activities of selected species. J. Ethnopharmacol.; 95: 287-296.
- 39- Stefanovic O, Stanojevic D, Comic Lj. (2012). Synergistic, antibacterial activity of *Salvia officinalis* and *Cichorium intybus* extracts and antibiotics. Acta Pol Pharm.;: 3.
- 40- Dastmalchi K, Dorman DHJ, Paivi PO, Darwis Y, Laakso I, Hiltunen R, (2008). Chemical composition and *in vitro* antioxidative activity of a lemon balm (*Melissa officinalis* L.) extract. LWT-Food Sci Technol.; 41: 391-400.
- 41- Trendafilova A, Todorova M, Vassileva E, Ivanova D. (2010).Comparative study of total phenolic content and radical scavenging activity of conventionally and organically grown herbs. Bot Serb.; 34: 133-136.
- 42- Stefanovic O, Stanojevic D, Comic Lj. (2009).Inhibitory effects of *Torilisanthriscus*on growth of microorganisms. Cent Eur J Biol.; 4: 493-498.
- 43- Stefanovic O, Stankovic MS, Comic Lj.(2011).*In vitro* antibacterial efficacy of *Clinopodium vulgare* L. extracts and their synergistic interaction with antibiotics. J Med Plants Res.; 5: 4074-4079.
- 44- Karami L, Ghahtan N, Habibi, H. (2017). Antibacterial Effect of Plantago Ovata and Lallemantialberica Seed Extracts against Some Bacteria. *Research in Molecular Medicine*.; 5(3): 32-36.
- 45- Jabbar A, Yasin MT, Ahmad K, Abdullah R, Hayat MQ, Qazi N, Sultan IN, Amanat K. and Bokhari SAI. (2020). Exploration Of The Phytochemicals, Antimicrobial And Antioxidant Activities Of *Plantago Ovata* (Ispaghol). Indo Am. J. P. Sci; 07(04).
- 46- Motamedi H, Darabpour E, Gholipour M, Sayyed Nejad SM. (2010). Antibacterial effect of ethanolic and methanolic extracts of *Plantago ovata* and *Oliveriadecumbensendemic* in Iran against some pathogenic bacteria. Inter J Pharmacolo.; 6(2): 117-22.
- 47- Sharma A, Verma R, Ramteke P. (2009). Antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plants used by tribal against UTI causing pathogens. World Applied Sciences Journal.; 7(3):332-339.
- 48- Windisch W, Schedle K, Plitzner C, Kroismayr A. (2014).Use of Phytogenic Products as Feed Additives for Swine and Poultry. J Animal Sci.; 86: 140-48.
- 49- Adwan G, and Mhanna M. (2009). Synergistic effects of plant extracts and antibiotics on *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from clinical specimens. Asian. Pac. J. Trop. Med.; 2: 46-51.